(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 2377 /2002

PETITIONER

Chandrashekhar Tiwari,
S/o. Late Shri M.P. Tiwari,
Aged about 50 years,
Inspector,
Panchayat & Social Education
Organizer,
Janpad Panchayat,
Nawagarh(Janjgir),
Dist. Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary,
Social Welfare Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

2. Registrar,
State Administrative Tribunal,
Jabalpur (M.P.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 READ WITH ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT/WRITS IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS.

(4)

3. Dr. Shahrajit Nayak Minister of State Department, Water Resources Department, Government of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur. (Chhattisgarh)

XI-HC-22

(135)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 2377/02 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

DB: HON'BLE SHRI FAKHRUDDIN, J.
& HON'BLE SHRI V.K.SHRIVASTAVA, J.

21/10/2004

Shri Manindra Shrivastava, Counsel for the petitioner.

Shri U.N.S. Deo, Govt. Advocate for the State.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, challenging the order dated 10/10/2002 passed by the State Administrative Tribunal, whereby he was transferred from Janpad Panchayat, Nawagarh (Janjgir) to Dantewada.

Petitioner has filed the petition before the State Administrative Tribunal against his transfer. The State Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur, passed the order dated 10/10/2002 holding that the petitioner's transfer was made on the basis of serious complaints and dismissed the petition. Against this order, during the intervening period, transfer order has been cancelled. Therefore, this petition has become infructuous.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already made representation before the respondents/authority for some claim but they have not decided the same. He prays that a direction may be given to the respondents/authority to decide the same as early as possible.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the competent authority of the respondents to decide the representation already submitted by the petitioner. Accordingly, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months.

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(136)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 2377/02 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	With the aforesaid observations and directions, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P.No.1064/2004 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge Sd//- V.K.Shrivastava Judge	